| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| | 10/622,367 | BARTH ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ALEX NOGUEROLA | 1795 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>ALEX NOGUEROLA</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Calvin Ward</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2007</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Ward authorized the Examiner's amendment | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| 010 01 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |